(3)

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Cr.M.P. (M.Cr.C.No) 10 OF 2006.

PETITIONER : Raman Singh Takriha, S/o. Domar Singh Tikriha, aged about 39 years, Sarpanch R/o. Village Kouhi, Police Station Ranitarai, Tahsil Patan, Distt. Durg (C. G.)

3356/06
Filed on 07-8-06
by Shri Ganesh Prajapati
Adv
R. to D.R. (S)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1) State of Chhattisgarh, Through : P. S. Ranitarai, Tahsil Patan, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

2) Anjani Bai, D/o. Late Ram Chandra Deshmukh, aged about 30 Years, occupation House wife R/o. Village Kouhi, P. S. Ranitarai, Tahsil Patan Distt. Durg, (C.G.)

FREE-COPY
7/8/06
CLERK G. A. G. BILASPUR

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 482 OF THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक Cr M P 10/ **सन्** 2006

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	17.08.2006 Shri Ganesh Prajapati, counsel for the petitioner. Shri VVS Murthy, Additional Public Prosecutor with Shri Sudhir Bajpai, Dy GA for the State. Heard. This petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (henceforth "the Code") has been filed by the petitioner to quash the First Information Report dated 17.05.2005 of Crime No.119/2005. Factual matrix of the case in brief is that on 16.02.2005, a sale deed was executed in favour of Smt Jankibai. Johanibai and Ambabai impersonating as Anjanibai and Kuntibai have executed the sale deed and petitioner was the person who attested it as a witness. Anjanibai when came to know the said fact made a written complaint to police. The complaint was enquired by Head Constable Mohanlal, who on enquiry found that land bearing Khasra No.853/2 admeasuring 0.43 hectare owned by Anjanibai and Kuntibai has been sold by Johanibai and Ambabai personating themselves to be Anjanibai and Kuntibai to Jankibai vide registered sale deed dated 16.02.2005 and to facilitate the execution and registration, petitioner who is village Sarpanch knowingly witnessed and signed the document as one of the witness, therefore, a case under Sections 420, 467, 468, 419 & 471 has been registered. Allegations against the petitioner are that he is a Sarpanch who knowingly witnessed the forged transaction and signed the document i.e. sale-deed as witness. The offence of forgery and cheating that too by	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

25

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक G.M.P. 10/06 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

conspiracy is evident and petitioner who facilitated the forgery cannot escape himself from it.

In view of the above, FIR dated 17.05.2005 lodged against the petitioner does not deserve to be quashed. Therefore, the petition is dismissed *inlimine*.

Sd//-
V.K.Shrivastava
Judge

padma

(पीछे देखिये)